

NATOPS INSTRUMENT RATING REQUEST

1. NAME (Last, first, middle initial):				2. RANK:		3. EDIPI NUMBER:		4. DATE OF LAST EVALUATION:		
5. UNIT:		6. CREW POSITION & QUALIFICATIONS:				7. HOURS IN MODEL:		8. DATE OF CHECK FLIGHT:		
9. AIRCRAFT MODEL:		10. AIRCRAFT BUNO:		11. FLIGHT DURATION:			12. EXPIRATION DATE:			
13. MISCELLANEOUS SUMMARY					18. INSTRUMENT PILOT TIME					
ITEM			LAST 6 MO.	LAST 12 MO.	ITEM		LAST 12 MO.	LAST 6 MO.	TOTAL ALL YEARS	
PRECISION APPROACHES					ACTUAL					
					SIMULATED					
					INSTRUMENT PILOT TIME TOTAL					
NON-PRECISION APPROACHES					TOTAL YEARS FLYING EXPERIENCE (Military and Commercial)					
14. TOTAL PILOT TIME					19. THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE APPLICANT HAS...					
					☐ SATISFACTORILY ☐ UNSATISFACTORILY COMPLETED THE WRITTEN EXAMINATION FOR AN INSTRUMENT RATING AS REQUIRED BY THE NATOPS INSTRUMENT FLIGHT MANUAL.					
15. CURRENT RATING: STANDARD					WRITTEN EXAMINATION	20. 1ST EXAM(Grade):		21. 2ND EXAM(Grade):		22. 3RD EXAM(Grade):
16. ISSUED RATING: STANDARD										
17. SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT:						23. EXAMINING OFFICER:		24. RANK:		
						25. UNIT:		26. DATE OF EXAM:		
FLIGHT EVALUATION	27. PART ONE (Basic Instruments)			Q	U	28. PART TWO (Instrument flight within control areas with emphasis on VOR/TACAN where feasible)			Q	U
	1	INSTRUMENT TAKEOFF (Optional)		☐	☐	1	FLIGHT PLANNING		☐	☐
	2	CLIMBING, DESCENDING, AND TIMED TURNS*		☐	☐	2	CLEARANCE COMPLIANCE		☐	☐
	3	STEEP TURNS*		☐	☐	3	INSTRUMENT APPROACHES		☐	☐
	4	RECOVERY FROM UNUSUAL ATTITUDES*		☐	☐	4	COMMUNICATIONS AND NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT		☐	☐
	5	VOR/TACAN POSITIONING		☐	☐	5	EMERGENCY PROCEDURES		☐	☐
	6	PARTIAL PANEL AIRWORK*		☐	☐	6	VOICE PROCEDURES		☐	☐
	7			☐	☐	7			☐	☐
* Not required when evaluation is conducted under actual instrument conditions.										
29. FLIGHT EXAMINER:				30. RANK:		31. DATE:		32. SIGNATURE:		
33. REMARKS:										
LCDR [LT,CDR] XXX flew a NATOPS instrument evaluation in the [2F107,2F176, aircraft] NAS JRB Simulator [or other aircraft location]. All normal, emergency, and instrument procedures were performed per applicable directives. Approaches included [List approaches here]. LCDR XXX is recommended for renewal of a standard instrument rating [recommended for issuance of a special instrument rating, recommended for renewal of a special instrument rating]. ### Non-precision simulator approaches were used to meet 6[12]-month requirements. ## Precision simulator approaches were used to meet 6[12]-month requirements. ## simulator instrument hours were used to meet 6[12]-month minimums.										
34. UNIT COMMANDER:				35. RANK:		36. DATE:		37. SIGNATURE:		